UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,641	01/06/2006	Roelf Van Der Wal	NL 030761	1969
	7590 12/28/200 LLECTUAL PROPER		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001			WILLIAMS, ARUN C	
BRIARCEITT	IFF MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2838	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/563,641	VAN DER WAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Arun Williams	2838				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		t with the correspondence address				
Period fo	• •	/ IO OFT TO EVOIDE	A MONTHAN OF THE TAYON DAVO				
· WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN A STATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMU 66(a). In no event, however, ma vill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becom	UNICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 January 2006</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•	•	arimior. Note the attac	med cines / total or form? 1 or 102.				
12)⊠ <i>i</i> a)[	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority of the prio</li></ul>	ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	een received in this National Stage				
Attachment  1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform		4) ☐ Intervi Paper 5) ☐ Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

10/563,641 Art Unit: 2838

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

# Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 3,11-14 objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. Claims 3,11-14, It is unclear if these are independent or dependent claims.
  - b. Claims 1-14, Examiner respectfully request to remove element numbers from the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

10/563,641 Art Unit: 2838

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1- 4,7-11,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lethellier, (Lethellier), USPAT 6,441,597 in view of Schetelig et al, (Schetelig), USPAT6,895,229

As for claims 4,7-11,13,14 Lethellier discloses and shows in Fig. 2 switch current measuring circuit (28)(also first switch current measuring circuit); the circuit comprising a current sensing stage (connected to the output of the inductor(16))(col.5, lines 12-14); Pulse width modulated circuit (22); AC current transformer (110). Still lack the limitation such as an offset stage. Schetelig discloses an offset stage (21) for adding two signals together which is coupled to an offset generator (18)(col.3, lines 33-40 & col.5, lines 14-20). Schetelig is evidence that ordinary skill in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to use an offset stage. Therefore, it would have been

10/563,641 Art Unit: 2838

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Lethellier by using an offset stage for advantages such as making setting operations simple (col.3, lines 41-42), as taught by Schetelig. Lethellier discloses the claimed invention except for second switch current measuring circuit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to second switch current measuring circuit, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Claims 1-3 are obvious in the structure and they recite the same elements in a method format.

8. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lethellier in view of Akamatsu et al, (Akamatsu), USPAT4,298,838

Lethellier differs from the claimed invention because he does not explicitly disclose a resistor coupled in parallel to the secondary transformer winding.

Akamatsu discloses and shows in Fig. 66 a resistor (R4) coupled in parallel to the secondary transformer winding (col.36, lines 30-33)

Akamatsu is evidence that ordinary skill in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to couple a resistor in parallel to the secondary transformer winding.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the gaming device of Loose by coupling a

10/563,641 Art Unit: 2838

resistor in parallel to the secondary transformer winding for advantages such as providing a stabilize circuit operation (col.36, line 33), as taught by Akamatsu.

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lethellier in view of Kushida et al, (Kushida), US2002/0185987

Lethellier differs from the claimed invention because he does not explicitly disclose an inverter circuit comprising a current sensing circuit.

Kushida discloses and shows in Fig. 1 a inverter circuit (4) and current sensing circuit (17) (par.[0040])

Kushida is evidence that ordinary skill in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to use an inverter circuit comprising a current sensing circuit.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Lethellier by using inverter circuit comprising a current sensing circuit for advantages such as providing a current detection of current flow within the inverter circuit (par.[0040]), as taught by Kushida.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-9765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thrus 6:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Akm Ullah can be reached on 571-272-2361. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/563,641

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun Williams Examiner Art Unit 2838

**AW** 

BAO Q. VU DOWARY EXAMINER